



Novel Retinoic Acid Receptor α Agonists: Syntheses and Evaluation of Pyrazole Derivatives

Kouichi Kikuchi, Shigeki Hibi, Hiroyuki Yoshimura, Kenji Tai, Takayuki Hida, Naoki Tokuhara, Toshihiko Yamauchi and Mitsuo Nagai*

Tsukuba Basic Research Laboratories for Drug Discovery, Eisai Co. Ltd., 1-3, Tokodai 5-chome, Tsukuba-shi, Ibaraki, 300-2635, Japan

Received 16 December 1999; accepted 20 January 2000

Abstract—We have designed and synthesized a series of pyrazole derivatives as candidate retinoic acid receptor (RAR) agonists. One of them, 4-[5-(1, 5-diisopropyl-1H-3-pyrazolyl)-1H-2-pyrrolyl]benzoic acid (11b), which possesses a 2,5-disubstituted pyrrole moiety, showed selective transactivation activity for the RAR α receptor, and had highly potent cell-differentiating activity on HL-60 cells. © 2000 Elsevier Science Ltd. All rights reserved.

Introduction

Retinoids, natural and synthetic analogues of all-trans retinoic acid (ATRA), play important roles in many biological functions, including induction of cellular proliferation, differentiation and death, as well as developmental changes. It has been shown that retinoids exert their function through nuclear receptors $(RAR\alpha, \beta, and \gamma)$.

Although retinoids are thought to have great therapeutic potential, the clinical use of retinoids is so far limited mainly to dermatological diseases³ and also some cancers, for which retinoids may have both chemotherapeutic and chemopreventive applications.⁴ For example, ATRA and Etretinate are prescribed for acute promyelocytic leukemia (APL) and psoriasis patients, respectively (Chart 1). The main reason for the limited use of retinoids so far is the wide range of toxic effects of retinoids.⁵ Recent research has focused on the synthesis and development of subtype-selective retinoids in order to reduce the toxicity.⁶

Only a few of RAR α agonists have been reported so far. These include Am80, Am580^{6a} and AGN193836.^{6b} Am80 is more potent than ATRA (all-*trans* retinoic acid) as an in vitro differentiation inducer, and clinically Am80 was effective in leukemia patients⁷ and psoriasis

patients.8 Moreover, this compound inhibited rat CII

In the course of our studies aimed at synthesizing novel retinoids, 10 we have already reported that ER-34617, which possesses both a quinoxaline and a 2,5-disubstituted pyrrole moiety, showed selectivity for the RARα receptor, as well as highly potent cell-differentiating activity on HL-60 cells. 10c Many synthetic retinoids, such as Am80 and ER-34617 (Chart 2), possess a bicyclic hydrophobic part, except for Etretinate and Barrero's monocyclic retinoids, in which the phenyl hydrophobic part of Etretinate was replaced with monocyclic pyrrole and imidazole. 11 We first introduced a monocyclic pyrazole moiety into the hydrophobic part of ER-34617. We hoped that such simple retinoid derivatives might be selective RARa agonists. Here we discuss the synthesis and structure–activity relationships (SAR) of retinoids which possess a pyrazole and a 2,5disubstituted pyrrole as the hydrophobic part and linker, respectively.

Chemistry

A number of known active retinoids possess bulky structure at the hydrophobic part. Therefore, we introduced an isopropyl group at the 5-position of pyrazole in order to enhance the affinity for RARs. The synthesis of the pyrazole derivatives is shown in Scheme 1.

arthritis.⁹ Therefore, RAR α agonists appear to be promising compounds for the treatment of cancer, dermatological diseases, and immunological disorders.

^{*}Corresponding author. Tel.: +81-298-47-5868; fax: +81-298-47-2037; e-mail: m2-nagai@hhc.eisai.co.jp

Chart 1.

Chart 2.

Scheme 1. Reagents and conditions: (a) methyl 2-methoxyacetate (2), Na, toluene, 70 °C, 2 h; (b) NH₂NH₂, EtOH, reflux, 2 h; (c) *i*-Prl, NaH, DMF, RT, 30 min; (d-i) CF₃CH₂NHNH₂, EtOH; (d-ii) *t*-BuNHNH₂·HCl, EtOH, reflux, 2 h; (d-iii) 2,5-dimethylphenylhydrazine hydrochloride, EtOH, reflux, 2 h.

Condensation of 3-methyl-2-butanone (1) with methyl 2-methoxyacetate (2) in the presence of sodium gave the diketone (3). The pyrazole derivatives (5a-d) were prepared by two methods. Treatment of the diketone with hydrazine, followed by alkylation of the pyrazole derivative (4) with isopropyl iodide in the presence of sodium hydride afforded the 1,5-diisopropylpyrazole (5b) as a minor isomer. A more direct method to synthesize the *N*-alkylated pyrazole was performed by treatment of the diketone (3) with substituted hydrazine. In this procedure, we obtained the pyrazole derivatives (5a, 5c, 5d) as the main product isomer (Scheme 1).

The formyl derivatives were obtained in three steps. Treatment of the methyl ethers (5) with boron tribromide followed by hydrolysis gave the alcohol, which was oxidized with manganese dioxide to afford the formyl derivatives (7a-d). These were treated with vinyl Grignard reagent followed by manganese dioxide oxidation to give the enones (8a-d). Synthesis of the 1,4-diketones (10a-d) was achieved by means of a thiazolium salt-catalyzed benzoin condensation type reaction. The enones (8a-d) were treated with a commercially available benzaldehyde derivative in the presence of 3-benzyl-5-(2-hydroxyethyl)-4-methylthiazolium chloride and triethylamine to afford the 1,4-diketones (10a-d). These were treated with ammonium acetate

followed by hydrolysis to afford the pyrrole derivatives (11a-d) (Scheme 2).

Results and Discussion

The above retinoids were synthesized and evaluated in vitro for the ability to bind to the individual RARs and to induce gene transcription in a co-transfection assay. Co-transfection assays were performed as reported, ^{10c,13} and results are reported as relative EC₃₀ values (see footnotes to Table 1). Binding assays for RAR receptor subtypes were performed in a similar manner to that described by Boehm et al. ¹⁴ using [³H] ATRA. HL-60 differentiation activity was measured using CD11b as a marker of differentiation. The results are summarized in the table. RXRα transactivation was also studied, but none of these compounds activated RXR (data not shown).

These four pyrazole derivatives showed weak affinity for RARs, and even the affinity at RAR α was 10- to 100-fold less potent than that of ATRA. However, in the co-transfection assay, the 1-trifluoroethyl-5-iso-propylpyrazole derivative (11a) possessed comparable activity and maximum response to ATRA at RAR α , but was less potent at RAR β and RAR γ , respectively. Moreover, 11a showed comparable HL-60 differentiation-inducing activity to ATRA. Introduction of a bulkier

Scheme 2. Reagents and conditions: (a-i) BBr₃, CH₂Cl₂, 0° C, 1 h; (a-ii) Na₂CO₃, dioxane, H₂O reflux, 3 h; (a-iii) MnO₂, CH₂Cl₂, RT, 13–16 h; (b-i) vinylmagnesium bromide, THF, 0° C, 10° min; (b-ii) MnO₂, CH₂Cl₂, RT, $13-16^{\circ}$ h; (c) methyl 4-formylbenzoate (9), 3-benzyl-5-(2-hydroxyethyl)-4-methylthiozolium chloride, Et₃N, DMF, 80° C, $1-2^{\circ}$ h; (d-i) AcONH₄, MeOH, reflux, $3-5^{\circ}$ h; (d-ii) NaOH, aqeous MeOH, reflux, 1° h.

Table 1. Competitive binding, transactivation and induction of HL-60 differentiation by the pyrazole derivatives

		Binding affinity ^a Relative IC ₅₀ ^b			Subtype-specific transactivation ^e $$			HL-60 differentiation-inducing activity
No.	R	RARα	RARβ	RARγ	RARα	RARβ	RARγ	ED_{30} (nM)
11a	CF ₃ CH ₂	98	c	c	1.0	15	88	0.62
11b	<i>i</i> -Pr	13	660	c	0.19	1.8	14	0.27
11c	t-Bu	7.6	110	70	0.22	0.63	2.3	0.050
11d	2,5-dimethylphenyl	39	c	c	g	g	g	>10000
ATRA	1.0	1.0 0.78 nM ^d	1.0 0.90 nM ^d	1.0 0.50 nM ^d	1.0 0.99 nM ^h	1.0 0.93 nM ^h	1.0 0.22 nM ^h	1.5

aSpecific binding affinity was defined as the total binding minus the nonspecific binding, and the 50% inhibitory dose (IC_{50}) values were obtained from logarithmic plots. In some cases, Scatchard plot analysis was performed. The selectivity of test compounds for each receptor is indicated as relative IC_{50} , where the IC_{50} value for each receptor was divided by that of the natural ligand (ATRA). ${}^{b}IC_{50}/ATRA\ IC_{50}$.

substituent at the 1-N-position of the pyrazole (11b,c) resulted in significant activation. Compound 11b activated RAR α , having a transcription activity more potent than that of ATRA. Although the 1-N-tertbutyl-5-isopropylpyrazole derivative (11c) possesses low selectivity for RARs, this compound is 30-fold more potent in HL-60 differentiation-inducing activity than

ATRA. Introduction of a large aromatic substituent at the 1-N-position of the pyrazole (11d) did not activate any RARs, and this compound did not show HL-60 differentiation-inducing activity.

In conclusion, we have described the synthesis and evaluation of a new series of pyrazolylpyrrole derivatives.

c—: not detectable (relative IC₅₀ >1000).

dATRA IC50.

 $^{^{}c}EC_{30}$ values were determined from full dose-response curves ranging from 0.1 nM to 3 mM. Retinoid activity is expressed in terms of relative EC_{30} , which is the concentration of retinoid required to produce 30% of the maximal observed response, normalized relative to that of ATRA. $^{f}EC_{30}/ATRA\ EC_{30}$.

g—: not detectable (relative EC₃₀ >10000).

hATRA EC₃₀.

We found that the pyrazole derivatives have strong transactivation activities. One of them, 4-[5-(1,5-di-isopropyl-1H-3-pyrazolyl)-1H-2-pyrrolyl]benzoic acid (11b; ER-38930) has selectivity for the RAR α receptor, and shows potent cell differentiation-inducing activity. Thus, ER-38930 should be useful as a tool to study the physiological role of RAR α . It may also be useful as a lead compound for RAR α -selective retinoids, which might have fewer toxic effects and better therapeutic indices than non selective retinoid drugs.

Acknowledgements

We thank Dr. Naoki Asai and Ms. Seiko Higashi for the 2D-NOESY experiments and the technical assistance.

References and Notes

- 1. Mangelsdorf, D. J.; Umesono, K.; Evans, R. M. In *The Retinoids;* Sporn, M. B.; Roberts, A. B.; Goodman, D. S., Eds; Raven Press: New York, 1994.
- 2. Leid, M.; Kastner, P.; Chambon, P. *Trends Biochem. Sci.* **1992**, *17*, 427; and references cited therein.
- 3. (a) Orfanos, C. E.; Ehlert, R.; Gollnick, H. *Drugs* **1987**, *34*, 459; and references cited therein. (b) Orfanos, C. E.; Zouboulis, C. C.; Almond-Roesler, B.; Geilen, C. C. *Drugs* **1997**, 53, 358; and references cited therein.
- 4. (a) Smith, M. A.; Parkinson, D. R.; Cheson, B. D.; Friedman, M. A. J. Clin. Oncol. 1992, 10, 839. (b) Vokes, E. E.; Weichselbaum, R. R.; Lippman, S. M.; Hong, W. K. N. Engl. J. Med. 1993, 328, 184. (c) Nagpal, S.; Chandraratna, R. A. S. Curr. Pharm. Des. 1996, 2, 295; and references cited therein. (d) Lotan, R. FASEB J. 1996, 10, 1031; and references cited therein. (e) Hong, W. K.; Sporn, M. B. Science 1997, 278, 1073; and references cited therein.
- 5. (a) Amstrong, R. B.; Ashenfelter, K. O.; Eckhoff, C.; Levin, A. A.; Shapio, S. S. In *The Retinoids*: Sporn, M. B.; Roberts, A. B.; Goodman, D. S., Eds; Raven Press: New York, 1994, pp 545–572. (b) Standeven, A. M.; Beard, R. L.; Johnson, A. T. Boehm, M. F.; Escobar, M.; Heyman, R. A; Chandraratna, R. A. S. *Fundam. Appl. Toxicol.* 1996, *33*, 264. (c) Soprano, D. R.; Soprano, K. J. *Annu. Rev. Nutr.* 1995, *15*, 111; and references cited therein. (d) Willhite, C. C.; Dawson, M. I. *Toxicol. Appl. Pharmacol.* 1990, 103, 324.
- 6. (a) Kagechika, H.; Kawachi, E.; Hashimoto, Y.; Himi, T.;

- Shudo, K. J. Med. Chem. 1988, 31, 2182. (b) Teng, M.; Duong, T. T.; Klein, E. S.; Chandraratna, R. A. S. J. Med. Chem. 1996, 39, 3035. (c) Johnson, A. T; Klein, E. S. Wang, L; Pino, M. E; Chandraratna, R. A. S. J. Med. Chem. 1996, 39, 5027. (d) Esgleyes-Ribot, T.; Chandraratna, R. A. S.; Lew-Kaya, D.; Sefton, J.; Duvic, M. J. J. Am. Acad. Dermatol. 1994, 30, 581. (e) Delescluse, C.; Cavey, M. T.; Martin, B.; Bernard, B. A.; Reichert, U.; Maignan, J.; Darmon, M.; Shroot, B. Mol. Pharmacol. 1991, 40, 556. (f) Charpentier, B.; Bernardon, J-M.; Eustache, J.; Millois, C.; Martin, B.; Michel, S.; Shroot, B. J. Med. Chem. 1995, 38, 4993. (g) Yu, K.-L.; Spinazze, P.; Ostrowski, J.; Currier, S. J.; Pack, E. J.; Hammer, L.; Roalsvig, T.; Honeyman, J. A.; Tortolani, D. R.; Reczek, P. R.; Mansuri, M. M.; Starret, J. E., Jr. J. Med. Chem. 1996, 39, 2411
- 7. Tobita, T.; Takeshita, A.; Kitamura, K.; Ohnishi, K.; Yanagi, M.; Hiraoka, A.; Karasuno, T.; Takeuchi, M.; Miyawaki, S.; Ueda, R.; Naoe, T.; Ohno, R. *Blood* **1997**, *90*, 967. 8. (a) Ishibashi, Y.; Harada, S.; Ohkawara, A.; Tagami, H.; Iizuka, H.; Toda, K.; Takigawa, M.; Shimizu, M.; Nakashima, M. *Rinshouiyaku* **1995**, *11*, 721. (b) Ishibashi, Y. *Rinshouiyaku* **1995**, *11*, 733.
- 9. Kuwabara, K.; Shudo, K.; Hori, Y. FEBS Letters 1996, 378, 153.
- 10. (a) Yoshimura, H.; Nagai, M.; Hibi, S.; Kikuchi, K.; Abe, S.; Hida, T; Higashi, S.; Hishinuma, I.; Yamanaka, T. *J. Med. Chem.* 1995, *38*, 3163. (b) Hibi, S.; Kikuchi, K.; Yoshimura, H.; Nagai, M.; Tai, K.; ; Hida, T. *J. Med. Chem.* 1998, *41*, 3245. (c) Kikuchi, K.; Hibi, S.; Yoshimura, H.; Tokuhara, N.; Tai, K.; Hida, T.; Yamauchi, T.; Nagai, M. *J. Med. Chem.* 2000, *43*, 409.
- 11. Barrero, A. F.; Sanchez, J. F.; Oltra, J. E.; Teva, D.; Ferrol, R. R.; Elmerabet, J.; Del Moral, R. G.; Lucena, M. A.; O'Valle, F. *Eur. J. Med. Chem.* **1994**, *29*, 773.
- 12. Regiochemistry of these pyrazole derivative was estimated from proton NMR and $R_{\rm f}$ values, compared with those of similar pyrazole derivatives: Nicolai, E.; Cure, G.; Goyard, J.; Kirchner, M.; Teulon, J-M.; Versigny, A.; Cazes, M.; Virone-Oddos, A.; Caussade, F.; Cloarrec, A. *Chem. Pharm. Bull.* **1994**, 42, 1617. The structures of **11b** and **11c** were supported by a 2D NOESY experiment, in which the NOE was observed between the methyne proton on the 5-position isopropyl and the methyne proton on the 1-position isopropyl or the *t*-butyl protons on the 1-position, respectively.
- 13. Goto, M.; Yamada, K.; Katayama, K.; Tanaka, I. Mol. Pharmacol. 1996, 49, 860.
- 14. Boehm, M. F.; Zhang, L.; Zhi, L.; McClung, M. R.; Berger, E.; Wagoner, M.; Mais, D. E.; Suto, C. M.; Davies, P. J. A.; Heyman, R. A.; Nadzan, A. M. *J. Med. Chem.* **1995**, *38*, 314.